

# CONCERNING MONOID STRUCTURES ON NAIVE HOMOTOPY CLASSES OF ENDOMORPHISMS OF PUNCTURED AFFINE SPACE

THOMAS BRAZELTON AND WILLIAM HORNSLIEN

**ABSTRACT.** Cazanave proved that the set of naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of endomorphisms of the projective line admits a monoid structure whose group completion is genuine  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of endomorphisms of the projective line. In this very short note we show that, over a field which is not quadratically closed, such a statement is never true for punctured affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Assumption:** We work over a base field  $k$  of characteristic  $\neq 2$ .

A foundational theorem of Morel states that the set of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of endomorphisms of the projective line is isomorphic as a ring with  $\mathrm{GW}(k) \times_{k^\times} k^\times / (k^\times)^2$  [Mor12, Theorem 7.36]. The genuine homotopy classes emerge from a localization of the category of  $(\infty\text{-categorical})$  presheaves on smooth  $k$ -schemes, however one can consider a weaker notion of homotopy, namely identifying two morphisms of schemes  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  if there is a map  $X \times \mathbb{A}_k^1 \rightarrow Y$  restricting to  $f$  and  $g$  at times  $0, 1 \in \mathbb{A}_k^1$ .<sup>1</sup> This is called *naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy*, and we denote by naive (resp. genuine) homotopy classes of maps  $[X, Y]^N$  (resp.  $[X, Y]^{\mathbb{A}^1}$ ). There is always a map  $[X, Y]^N \rightarrow [X, Y]^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  but it fails to be a bijection in general.

Cazanave, in his PhD thesis and subsequent work, proved the remarkable result that naive endomorphisms of the projective line  $[\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1]^N$  admits a monoid structure, and the natural map

$$[\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1]^N \rightarrow [\mathbb{P}^1, \mathbb{P}^1]^{\mathbb{A}^1}$$

is a group completion [Caz12, Proposition 3.23]. We show that an analogous result cannot be true for the motivic spheres  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

Morphisms of punctured affine space  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  are given by tuples  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  of polynomials in  $n$  variables, and these come in two flavors — those for which  $f(0) \neq 0$ , and those for which  $f(0) = 0$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is an endomorphism of punctured affine space, then the ideal  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \trianglelefteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  becomes a unimodular row after inverting  $x_i$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is an endomorphism of punctured affine space, we have that its vanishing locus (which could be empty), is contained in the set containing the origin. By the Nullstellensatz this implies that

$$\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle \subseteq \sqrt{\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle}.$$

Inverting  $x_i$  on either side of the equality implies that 1 is contained in  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$ . □

---

*Date:* February 2025.

<sup>1</sup>This notion dates back to Gersten and Karoubi–Villamayor [Ger71; KV71]. It was called an *elementary homotopy* in [MV99].

We can now ask whether  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  is unimodular in the polynomial algebra  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  before inverting any  $x_i$ . Whether this is true or false has the following consequences.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n): \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  be an endomorphism of punctured affine space.*

- (1) *If  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a unimodular row in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $f$  is naively  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic to a constant map.*
- (2) *If  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is not a unimodular row in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then the local algebra*

$$\frac{k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)}}{\langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle}$$

*is finite length. In the terminology of [KW19] this implies that  $f$ , considered as an endomorphism of affine space, has an isolated zero at the origin.*

*Proof.* For the first statement, if we suppose  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is a unimodular row in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , then  $f$  extends to a map  $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{A}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$ . By the Quillen–Suslin theorem, all algebraic vector bundles on affine space are trivial. It follows that the unimodular row is naively homotopy equivalent to a constant map (see [Lan02, §XXI, Theorem 3.5]).

On the other hand, if  $(f_1, \dots, f_n)$  is not unimodular in  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , it is still unimodular after inverting  $x_i$  for each  $i$  by Proposition 1. In particular, this implies that there is some  $d_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  for which

$$x_i^{d_i} \in \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle \leq k[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

This implies that the local algebra  $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]_{(x_1, \dots, x_n)} / \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle$  is finite-dimensional.  $\square$

We can now prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k$  be a field which is not quadratically closed. For  $n \geq 2$ , there is no monoid structure on  $[\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^N$  which makes*

$$[\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^N \rightarrow [\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \mathrm{GW}(k)$$

*into a monoid homomorphism (hence it can never be a group completion).*

*Proof.* Since every endomorphism of punctured affine space extends to an endomorphism of affine space, we obtain an induced map on the homotopy cofiber which makes the diagram commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{A}^n}{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}} \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \Sigma_{S^1} f \\ \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n & \longrightarrow & \frac{\mathbb{A}^n}{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}}. \end{array}$$

The rightmost map is the  $S^1$ -suspension of  $f$ . If  $f$  is a unimodular row, it is naively  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopic to a constant map, so without loss of generality we assume  $f$  is not a unimodular row, which implies it has an isolated zero at the origin by Lemma 1. Recall that there is a group isomorphism  $\left[ \frac{\mathbb{A}^n}{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}}, \frac{\mathbb{A}^n}{\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}} \right]^{\mathbb{A}^1} \cong \mathrm{GW}(k)$  via Morel's local Brouwer degree at the origin (see [Mor12, Corollary 1.24]). Since we are in the stable range, we conclude that the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -degree of  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \xrightarrow{f} \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  is equal to the local  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Brouwer degree of  $f$  at the origin. Since  $f$  has an isolated zero at the origin, we conclude by [KW19, Main Theorem] that  $\deg_0^{\mathbb{A}^1}(f)$  is an EKL form.

For  $u \in k^\times$ , observe that  $\langle u \rangle \in \mathrm{GW}(k)$  is the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Brouwer degree of the endomorphism on  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  given by the tuple  $(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, ux_n)$ , and in particular, the identity morphism has  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Brouwer degree  $\langle 1 \rangle$ . If  $[\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^N$  admitted a monoid structure compatible with that on the Grothendieck-Witt ring, then  $\langle 1, u \rangle$  would be representable by an endomorphism of punctured affine space, and hence would be the local  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -Brouwer degree of an endomorphism of affine space at the origin. However by a theorem of Quick, Strand, and Wilson, any EKL form of rank  $\geq 2$  must contain a hyperbolic form as a summand [QSW22, Theorem 2.2]. As  $k$  is not quadratically closed, we can always find a unit  $u \in k^\times$  for which  $\langle 1, u \rangle \neq \mathbb{H}$ , hence no such monoid structure can exist.  $\square$

**Remark 1.** In the case  $n = 1$ , we recall that  $\mathbb{G}_m$  is already  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -invariant, hence genuine  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy classes of endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  are in canonical bijection with endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{G}_m$  in the category of schemes. This set admits a group structure arising from the group scheme structure on  $\mathbb{G}_m$ . In particular, an endomorphism is determined by mapping  $t \mapsto ut^n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $u \in k^\times$ , and there are no non-trivial naive  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopies between such maps.

**Remark 2.** It is still possible that there is a monoid structure on a subset of the naive homotopy classes  $[\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^N$  that group completes to  $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ . For example, Quick, Strand, and Wilson show that for  $u \in k^\times$  the quadratic forms  $\mathbb{H}$  and  $\mathbb{H} + \langle u \rangle$  are representable by endomorphisms of  $\mathbb{A}^n$ . A monoid generated by these elements would group complete to  $\mathrm{GW}(k)$ .

The story would have been different if  $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$  was affine scheme for  $n \geq 2$ . The set  $[\mathrm{Spec}(A), \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^N$  can be identified with unimodular rows of length  $n$  in the ring  $A$ , and there are several ways to endow this set with a group structure. Van der Kallen [Kal83] used weak Mennicke symbols to construct a group structure when  $\dim(A) \leq 2n - 4$ . Using work by Asok and Fasel [AF22], Lerbet [Ler24] constructed a cogroup structure on the set  $[U, \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}]^{\mathbb{A}^1}$  given  $U \in \mathrm{Sm}_k$  of  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -cohomological dimension less than  $2n - 2$ . Lerbet then showed that these two group structures agree when  $\dim(A) \leq 2n - 4$ . Since we are working with naive homotopy classes of something non-affine, neither of these group structures are applicable to our situation.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Aravind Asok and Marc Levine for helpful comments and feedback. We would also like the anonymous referee for feedback and comments on the draft. The first named author is supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship (DMS-2303242).

## REFERENCES

- [AF22] Aravind Asok and Jean Fasel. “Euler class groups and motivic stable cohomotopy”. In: *Journal of the European Mathematical Society* 24.8 (2022), 2775–2822, With and appendix by Mrinal Kanti Das.
- [Caz12] Christophe Cazanave. “Algebraic homotopy classes of rational functions”. In: *Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure* 45.4 (2012), pp. 511–534.
- [Ger71] S. M. Gersten. “Homotopy theory of rings”. In: *J. Algebra* 19 (1971), pp. 396–415.
- [Kal83] Wilberd van der Kallen. “A group structure on certain orbit sets of unimodular rows”. In: *Journal of Algebra* 82.2 (1983), pp. 363–397.
- [KV71] Max Karoubi and Orlando Villamayor. “ $K$ -théorie algébrique et  $K$ -théorie topologique. I”. In: *Math. Scand.* 28 (1971), pp. 265–307.

- [KW19] Jesse Leo Kass and Kirsten Wickelgren. “The class of Eisenbud-Khimshiashvili-Levine is the local  $\mathbf{A}^1$ -Brouwer degree”. In: *Duke Math. J.* 168.3 (2019), pp. 429–469.
- [Lan02] Serge Lang. *Algebra*. third. Vol. 211. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002, pp. xvi+914.
- [Ler24] Samuel Lerbet. “Motivic stable cohomotopy and unimodular rows”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 436 (2024), p. 109415.
- [Mor12] Fabien Morel.  *$\mathbf{A}^1$ -algebraic topology over a field*. Vol. 2052. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, Heidelberg, 2012, pp. x+259.
- [MV99] Fabien Morel and Vladimir Voevodsky. “ $\mathbf{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes”. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 90 (1999), pp. 45–143.
- [QSW22] Gereon Quick, Therese Strand, and Glen Matthew Wilson. “Representability of the local motivic Brouwer degree”. In: *Math. Scand.* 128.1 (2022), pp. 54–77.